

Chapter 7

Appell-Lerch sums

7.1 The Jacobi theta function

Definition 7.1.1. We define the *Jacobi theta function* $\vartheta : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\vartheta(z; \tau) := \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu-1/2} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu z}.$$

We often omit the variable τ when it is not varying and simply write $\vartheta(z)$.

Proposition 7.1.2. *Up to a multiplicative constant, ϑ (as a function of z) is the unique entire function satisfying the elliptic transformation properties*

$$\vartheta(z+1) = -\vartheta(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta(z+\tau) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \vartheta(z).$$

Further ϑ is odd and the only zeros of ϑ are simple zeros in $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF. Using that $e^{2\pi i \nu} = -1$ holds for all $\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ we immediately get

$$\vartheta(z+1) = \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu-1/2} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu(z+1)} = - \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu-1/2} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu z} = -\vartheta(z).$$

Further replacing ν by $\nu+1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(z) &= \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu+1/2} e^{\pi i (\nu+1)^2 \tau + 2\pi i (\nu+1)z} \\ &= -e^{\pi i \tau + 2\pi i z} \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu-1/2} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu(z+\tau)} = -e^{\pi i \tau + 2\pi i z} \vartheta(z+\tau) \end{aligned}$$

and so $\vartheta(z+\tau) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \vartheta(z)$. Conversely, if an entire function f satisfies

$$f(z+1) = -f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad f(z+\tau) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} f(z),$$

then $e^{-\pi iz} f(z)$ is 1-periodic and so we can write it as

$$e^{-\pi iz} f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{2\pi in z}.$$

We may set $a_n = e^{\pi i(n+\frac{1}{2})^2 \tau} b_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ and then we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pi i(n+\frac{1}{2})^2 \tau + 2\pi i(n+\frac{1}{2})z} = \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} b_\nu e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu z}.$$

Using this formula we get

$$e^{\pi i \tau + 2\pi i z} f(z + \tau) = \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} b_\nu e^{\pi i(\nu+1)^2 \tau + 2\pi i(\nu+1)z} = \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} b_{\nu-1} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu z}$$

and $f(z) = -e^{\pi i \tau + 2\pi i z} f(z + \tau)$ then gives $b_\nu = -b_{\nu-1}$ and $b_\nu = (-1)^{\nu-1/2} b_{1/2}$. Hence we have obtained

$$f(z) = b_{1/2} \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu-1/2} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau + 2\pi i \nu z} = b_{1/2} \vartheta(z),$$

as desired. Replacing ν by $-\nu$ in the definition of ϑ immediately gives $\vartheta(-z) = -\vartheta(z)$. Hence ϑ has a zero for $z = 0$ and by the elliptic transformation properties also in all points of $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$. What remains to be shown is that these zeros are simple and that there are no further zeros. For this we count the number of zeros of ϑ inside the (fundamental) parallelogram $P_p := p + (0, 1)\tau + (0, 1)$, where $p \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that there are no zeros on the boundary of P_p . From complex analysis we know that the number of zeros (counting multiplicities) of a holomorphic function can be computed by integrating the logarithmic derivative along the boundary, so the number of zeros of ϑ inside P_p equals

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial P_p} \frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_p^{p+1} + \int_{p+1}^{p+\tau+1} - \int_{p+\tau}^{p+\tau+1} - \int_p^{p+\tau} \right) \frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_p^{p+1} \left(\frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} - \frac{\vartheta'(z+\tau)}{\vartheta(z+\tau)} \right) dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_p^{p+\tau} \left(\frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} - \frac{\vartheta'(z+1)}{\vartheta(z+1)} \right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating the transformation properties of ϑ we find that ϑ'/ϑ is 1-periodic and that

$$\vartheta'(z + \tau) = 2\pi i e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \vartheta(z) - e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \vartheta'(z), \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{\vartheta'(z)}{\vartheta(z)} - \frac{\vartheta'(z + \tau)}{\vartheta(z + \tau)} = 2\pi i.$$

Hence the number of zeros of ϑ inside P_p is $\int_p^{p+1} dz = 1$. Since P_p contains exactly one point from $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$, this means that we have already found all zeros and that these zeros are all simple. \square

Proposition 7.1.3. *The function ϑ satisfies the modular transformation properties*

$$\vartheta(z; \tau + 1) = \zeta_8 \vartheta(z; \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta\left(\frac{z}{\tau}; -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = -i\sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi iz^2/\tau} \vartheta(z; \tau),$$

where (as usual) $\zeta_N := e^{2\pi i/N}$.

PROOF. For all $\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $\nu^2 \in \frac{1}{4} + 2\mathbb{Z}$ and so $e^{\pi i \nu^2} = \zeta_8$. From this the first transformation property follows immediately. Further, using

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi i u^2/\tau - 2\pi i u v} du = \sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi i v^2 \tau} \quad (7.1)$$

(Proposition 6.4.11 with $A = 1 \in M_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $P = 1$) we get that for the Fourier transform of

$$f(v) = e^{-\pi i v} e^{-\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})^2/\tau + 2\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})x} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}f)(v) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi i u - \pi i (u + \frac{1}{2})^2/\tau + 2\pi i (u + \frac{1}{2})x - 2\pi i u v} du \\ &\stackrel{u \rightarrow u - \frac{1}{2}}{=} e^{\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi i u^2/\tau - 2\pi i u(-x + v + \frac{1}{2})} du \\ &= \sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})} e^{\pi i (-x + v + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau} = i\sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi i x^2 \tau} e^{\pi i v} e^{\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})^2 \tau - 2\pi i (v + \frac{1}{2})x \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Poisson summation we then find

$$\vartheta(x; -1/\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{F}f)(n) = i\sqrt{-i\tau} e^{\pi i x^2 \tau} \vartheta(-x\tau; \tau).$$

This identity holds for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and by analytic continuation for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Now setting $x = z/\tau$ and using that ϑ is odd we get the desired result. \square

Proposition 7.1.4. *For all $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \vartheta'(0; \tau) = \eta(\tau)^3.$$

Remark 7.1.5. Here $'$ means the derivative with respect to z . Further η is the Dedekind η -function (as in remark 3.5.9), which satisfies the modular transformation properties

$$\eta(\tau + 1) = \zeta_{24} \eta(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(-1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i\tau} \eta(\tau).$$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 7.1.4. Since η has no zeros in \mathbb{H} , the function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $f(\tau) = \vartheta'(0; \tau) / (2\pi i \eta(\tau)^3)$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{H} . Using

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \vartheta'(0; \tau) &= \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \nu (-1)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} e^{\pi i \nu^2 \tau} = q^{1/8} (1 + \mathcal{O}(q)), \\ \eta(\tau)^3 &= q^{1/8} (1 + \mathcal{O}(q)) \end{aligned}$$

we get $f(\tau) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(q)$ and so f is also holomorphic at ∞ . Further, taking the derivative (with respect to z) and then setting $z = 0$ in the modular transformation properties of ϑ immediately gives

$$\vartheta'(0; \tau + 1) = \zeta_8 \vartheta'(0; \tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta'(0; -1/\tau) = (-i\tau)^{3/2} \vartheta'(0; \tau).$$

Combining these modular transformation properties with those of η , we see that f transforms as a modular form of weight 0 and so we have $f \in M_0(\Gamma_1) = \mathbb{C}$. Hence we find $f \equiv 1$, which gives the desired result. \square

Proposition 7.1.6 (Jacobi triple product identity). *We have*

$$\vartheta(z; \tau) = -q^{1/8} \zeta^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - \zeta q^{n-1})(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^n) \quad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \zeta = e^{2\pi i z}).$$

PROOF. We define ϑ^* as the right hand side:

$$\vartheta^*(z) = \vartheta^*(z; \tau) := -q^{1/8} \zeta^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - \zeta q^{n-1})(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^n).$$

Replacing z by $z + 1$ immediately gives $\vartheta^*(z + 1) = -\vartheta^*(z)$ and if we replace z by $z + \tau$, we have to replace ζ by ζq . Hence we find

$$\vartheta^*(z + \tau) = -q^{-3/8} \zeta^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - \zeta q^n)(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^{n-1}),$$

and using

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta q^n) &= \frac{1}{1 - \zeta} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta q^{n-1}), \\ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta^{-1} q^{n-1}) &= (1 - \zeta^{-1}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \zeta^{-1} q^n) \end{aligned}$$

we then get

$$\vartheta^*(z + \tau) = q^{-1/2} \frac{1 - \zeta^{-1}}{1 - \zeta} \vartheta^*(z) = -q^{-1/2} \zeta^{-1} \vartheta^*(z) = -e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \vartheta^*(z).$$

Using Proposition 7.1.2 we find that $\vartheta^*(z; \tau) = c(\tau)\vartheta(z; \tau)$, where c doesn't depend on z . What remains to be shown is that $c \equiv 1$, for which it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\vartheta(z; \tau)}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\vartheta^*(z; \tau)}{z} \neq 0.$$

The left hand side is $\vartheta'(0; \tau) = 2\pi i \eta(\tau)^3$ and the expression on the right equals

$$\begin{aligned} -q^{1/8} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{(1 - e^{2\pi iz})}{z} \zeta^{-1/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)(1 - \zeta q^n)(1 - \zeta^{-1} q^n) \right] \\ = -q^{1/8} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1 - e^{2\pi iz})}{z} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^3 = 2\pi i \eta(\tau)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence they are equal and nonzero, as desired. \square

Corollary 7.1.7. *We have*

$$\eta(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) q^{\frac{1}{24}n^2},$$

where χ is the Dirichlet character modulo 12 with $\chi(\pm 1) = 1$ and $\chi(\pm 5) = -1$.

Remark 7.1.8. In terms of the Kronecker symbol (see Definition 5.5.11) we have $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{12}{n}\right)$.

PROOF OF COROLLARY 7.1.7. If we replace τ by 3τ and set $z = \tau$ in the Jacobi triple product identity we find

$$\vartheta(\tau; 3\tau) = -q^{-1/8} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{3n})(1 - q^{3n-2})(1 - q^{3n-1}) = -q^{-1/8} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n),$$

which equals $-q^{-1/6}\eta(\tau)$. Hence we have

$$\eta(\tau) = -q^{1/6} \vartheta(\tau; 3\tau) = - \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu - \frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{3}{2}\nu^2 + \nu + \frac{1}{6}} = \sum_{\nu \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{24}(6\nu+2)^2}$$

and substituting $\nu = n - \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\tau) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{24}(6n-1)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{24}(6n-1)^2} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{24}(6n-1)^2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv 5 \pmod{6}}}^{\infty} \chi(m) q^{\frac{1}{24}m^2} + \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv 1 \pmod{6}}}^{\infty} \chi(m) q^{\frac{1}{24}m^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have substituted $m = 6n - 1$ in the first sum and $m = -6n + 1$ in the second. This gives the desired result. \square